CONFIDENTIAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Secretary, CIA Career Council

SUBJECT

: Career Council Coordination of Transaction at Non-CIA Facilities under Public Law 110**

"Training 25X1A

REFERENCE

: Memo to DTR fm Executive Secretary, dtd 27 Jan 58,

subject as above

25X1A

- l. Attached is a redraft of "Training at Nen-CIA Facilities under Public Law 110." It is ferwarded for coordination in the Council as suggested in your memorandum of 27 January 1958.
- 2. I believe the redraft will satisfy earlier comments except for three points upon which DD/P and this Office have not been able to agree. These are:
 - a. DD/PIs belief that the phrase "efficers or employees" as used in paragraph la(1) should be changed to "employees" only, with a recommended additional paragraph as fellows:

"In the application of this regulation, the term 'employees' will be understood ordinarily to mean staff employees,
staff agents, career agents and contract employees. However,
its provisions may also be applicable to contract agents and
detailed personnel if the interest of the Agency would thereby be served."

The phrase "officers or employees" is used in Public Law 110, has been defined by the General Counsel, and has been operative guidance for a number of years. I believe the suggested additional paragraph provides no further interpretation than is inherent in the phrase itself. In addition, the DD/P proposal is not fully consistent with the General Counsel's definition:



Approved For Release 2001/07/30 : CIA-RDP78-06365A000100050004-3

SEGDET.

311K-1-1120

Approved For Release 2004/07/30 :

SFORFT 78-06365A000100050004-3

SUBJECT: Career Council Coordination of R Training at Non-CIA Facilities under Public Law 110"

25X1A

25X1A 25X1A b. DD/P's desire to have its responsibilities with regard to cover spelled out in more detail. I believe that paragraph 2e clearly and succinctly restates DD/P's responsibility for cover as it relates to external training in language similar to that of the existing A full statement of DD/P's cover responsibilities is already available in the form of Agency Regulation and

c. DD/P's position regarding the need for procedures in the Regulation. I believe that paragraph 3 of the attached draft is sufficient, and is in line with the Council's desire to eliminate procedural detail from regulations.

MATTHEW BAIRD Director of Training

25X1A

Attachments:

A. Redraft of General Counsel's definition of "officers or employees"

cc: DD/S DD/P

CONFIDENTIAL